$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_1 = a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2\\ \dot{x}_2 = a_{21}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 \end{cases}$$

Applications and Differential Equations (DEs)	Questions: How can we predict when two matrices have the same behavior? What may be a suitable replacement for the spectrum?	
In various disciplines, the rates of change among var- ious physical quantities are often interrelated and so	Norm behavior and pseudospectra	
modeled by systems of DEs. Moreover, in applications, one is often interested in understanding the decay of the function(s) modeled.	Let A and B be matrices. We say that A and B have the same norm behavior if $\ p(A)\ = \ p(B)\ $ (1)	
For instance, the decay of the solution $x(t) = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}$	for all polynomials $p(z) = a_0 + a_1 z + a_2 z^2 + \ldots + a_n z^n$.	
the 2-by-2 system of DEs $\begin{cases} \dot{x}_1 = a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 \\ \dot{x}_2 = a_{21}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 \end{cases}$ well known to be bounded by the norm of	Problem: Given two matrices, how can we deter- mine whether they have the same norm behavior? That is, when does (1) hold?	
$\exp(tA) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^k}{k!} A^k = I + tA + \frac{t^2}{2} A^2 + \frac{t^3}{6} A^3 + \dots,$	Since $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfies $\ (A - \lambda I)^{-1}\ = \infty$ precisely when λ is an eigenvalue of A , one may ask whether	
where $A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{bmatrix}$ is the matrix of coefficients.	$ (A - zI)^{-1} = (B - zI)^{-1} $ for all z . (2) When (2) holds, we say that A and B have identical pseudospectra .	
Example (Trefethen 1992)	Theorem (Our starting point)	
$f A = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } B = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 5 \\ 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ then}$	The following statements are equivalent for 2-by-2 matrices A and B .	
$\exp(tA) = \begin{bmatrix} e^{-t} t e^{-t} \\ 0 e^{-t} \end{bmatrix}, \ \exp(tB) = \begin{bmatrix} e^{-t} 5 e^{-t} (1 - e^{-t}) \\ 0 e^{-2t} \end{bmatrix}$		
Since the spectra $\sigma(A) = \{-1\} \text{ and } \sigma(B) = \{-1, -2\},$	 A and B have identical pseudospectra. The following three trace conditions hold: 	
what might we predict about the behavior of the norms of the matrices $\exp(tA)$ and $\exp(tB)$?	$\operatorname{tr} A = \operatorname{tr} B, \ \operatorname{tr} A^2 = \operatorname{tr} B^2,$ $\operatorname{tr}(A^*A) = \operatorname{tr}(B^*B).$	
	$1 \Leftrightarrow 2$ was stated by Greenbaum-Trefethen in the 90's. The version above was observed by Brooks-Condori a couple of years ago.	
0.8	Summer 2018 questions:	



Figure 1: $|| \exp(tA) ||$ and $|| \exp(tB) ||$. Which curve corresponds to $||\exp(tB)||$?

Moral: The spectrum alone is insufficient to understand "matrix behavior."

Norm behavior of 3-by-3 matrices

A. Condori and N. Seguin

Florida Gulf Coast University, Fort Myers, FL

- Must a pair of 3-by-3 matrices have the same norm behavior precisely when they have identical pseudospectra?
- Is it possible to find a simple "computational" criterion? How about a longer list of trace conditions?

Problem: Are there non-derogatory 3-by-3 matrices with identical pseudospectra but without the same norm behavior? The next result "completes the picture" for 3-by-3 matrices.

answer these questions, we constructed amples, counterexamples, and studied related ork: Pearcy-Sibirskii seven trace conditions for nitary equivalence, Ransford's super-identical eudospectra, etc. Then, we obtained

Theorem (Condori-Seguin)

or "derogatory" 3-by-3 matrices A and B, the folwing are equivalent.

and B have the same norm behavior. and B have identical pseudospectra. $|A - a \cdot I||_F = ||B - b \cdot I||_F$, where a and b are the eigenvalues corresponding to A and B_{i} respectively, of largest multiplicity.

ne key was to find the "right example" and show at all other cases can be reduced to that example.

Non-derogatory matrices

ould the Frobenius norm condition in the previous eorem be used in the non-derogatory case?



nis looks promising!

ne matrices A and B satisfy the Frobenius-norm ondition in the previous theorem, but they do not have the same norm behavior. Even worse, they do not even have identical pseudospectra!

are derogatory, have identical pseudospectra, and so the Frobenius norm condition holds; however, none of the six trace conditions hold. For instance, $\operatorname{tr} A = 1$ and $\operatorname{tr} B = 2!$

Although matrices with identical spectra need not have the same norm behavior in general, we were successful in showing that matrices with identical pseudospectra do have the same norm behavior in the case of 3-by-3 matrices. Moreover, we paraphrased this theoretical notion into easy-to-compute conditions that involve Frobenius norms or traces.

Theorem (Brooks-Condori)

For "non-derogatory" 3-by-3 matrices A and B, the following are equivalent.

• A and B have the same norm behavior. • A and B have identical pseudospectra. **③** The following six trace conditions hold: $\operatorname{tr} A = \operatorname{tr} B$, $\operatorname{tr} A^2 = \operatorname{tr} B^2$, $\operatorname{tr} A^3 = \operatorname{tr} B^3$, $\operatorname{tr}(A^*A) = \operatorname{tr}(B^*B),$ $\operatorname{tr}(A^*A^2) = \operatorname{tr}(B^*B^2),$ $\operatorname{tr}(A^{*2}A^2) = \operatorname{tr}(B^{*2}B^2).$

Sanity Check!

Question: Can we use the six trace conditions in the derogatory case instead of the Frobenius conditions?

No. For instance, the matrices

	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$		$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$
A =	0 0 0	and $B =$	010
	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$		

Conclusions

Acknowledgements

This poster was based on joint work with C. Brooks (FGCU). We are grateful to the Seidler family and the Dean's Office in the College of Arts and Sciences for providing funding that facilitated this faculty-student research project during the summer of 2018 through the Seidler Fund.